2.1% GDP FIRING ON ALL CYLINDERS WHEN YOU IGNORE “INVESTMENT” TREND

We got the third and final estimate for Q4 2016 real GDP. It came in at 2.1% versus the 1.9% first and second estimates. You would think the economy was really moving along at a fast clip and more Fed rate hikes on the way given how 10-yr Treasuries sold off on the news. 10-yr yields were 2.38% at the 830am EDT Thursday, March 30 GDP report release time, and were 2 bps higher an hour later and closed 4 bps higher at 2.42% at the end of the day. The new volatility.

Consumer spending was fine at 3.5% on the same “2.1% GDP basis.” [Please ignore consumer spending running only 0.3% in Q1 2017 with February data out Friday.] But business investment spending continues to lag. Trump’s economic team wants to try and boost growth and unleash business investment. The dirty little secret about business investment is that the lagging part of investment is not in equipment orders or intellectual property (R&D, software) or building new plants and offices; the weakness in investment spending in the GDP accounts is actually in residential housing construction, a sector where it can be truly said that activity hasn’t yet fully recovered from the 2007-09 recession. We hate to think the faster GDP growth the Fed wants to see before pushing interest rates higher at a faster pace is reliant on housing starts, but perhaps it is. We would need to think on it. The level of residential housing construction is certainly well below pre-recession highs.
For the full year 2016, GDP growth was 1.6% not the 2.6% growth of 2015. Most of this slowdown was the drop in inventories, and business equipment purchases were a drag as well, mostly in farm machinery and oil & gas drilling. So it is fairly easy to forecast growth returning to the mid-2% range in 2017. But we are not feeling so confident about our 3.0% full year 2018 forecast. Government spending has to provide 0.7 percentage points of this growth push and here Congress is looking increasingly unlikely to vote out any deficit spending kind of stimulus. We don’t know what the Trump economics team has in mind, but the historical track record does not inspire much confidence that Washington can intervene in the economy and boost economic growth. The four years of trillion dollar Obama budget deficits from 2009 to 2012 did not seem to boost economic growth that much. The 2% payroll tax holiday that put as much as $2,100 in workers pockets led to 1.6% GDP growth in 2011 and 2.2% in 2012 if “led” is the word.

We will keep our growth numbers where they are for now; including the 3.0% growth in 2018 (Fed sees 2.1% in 2018). The good news is faster economic growth may not be needed even if it sounds like a great campaign slogan. The Bernanke Fed always forecast stronger 3% growth, tried to make it happen, to ensure that unemployment from the recession would come back down. The reality is growth was slower than that and yet today’s workers found jobs anyway. Today the economy has reached full employment. There is not a lot that Washington needs to do, except maybe something for manufacturing that is in decline, less production and fewer jobs. Time will tell if they can reverse that trend that has been a couple of decades in the making.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$BLN Nominal GDP expenditures</th>
<th>Q4 15 YOY%</th>
<th>Q1 16</th>
<th>Q2 16</th>
<th>Q3 16</th>
<th>Q4 16 YOY%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment &amp; Intellectual Property</td>
<td>1823.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1806.4</td>
<td>1817.4</td>
<td>1813.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>1092.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1066.3</td>
<td>1058.7</td>
<td>1049.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information processing equipment</td>
<td>322.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>319.9</td>
<td>316.8</td>
<td>322.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other processing equipment 1</td>
<td>246.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>243.9</td>
<td>238.6</td>
<td>245.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial equipment</td>
<td>224.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>222.2</td>
<td>227.3</td>
<td>226.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation equipment</td>
<td>310.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>301.3</td>
<td>297.6</td>
<td>286.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other equipment 2</td>
<td>235.1</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>229.2</td>
<td>217.0</td>
<td>213.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY</td>
<td>730.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>740.1</td>
<td>758.7</td>
<td>763.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td>330.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>336.0</td>
<td>339.4</td>
<td>342.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Development (R&amp;D)</td>
<td>320.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>323.0</td>
<td>337.9</td>
<td>338.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment, literary, artistic</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Communication, medical, photocopy, office and accounting equipment; nonmedical instruments
2 Furniture; agriculture, construction, mining/oilfield, and service industry machinery; electrical equipment

The Great Recession

Real Investment Spending in GDP
JOBLESS CLAIMS—BEST OF BEST TIMES RIGHT NOW FOR LABOR MARKET

Unemployment claims have been elevated the last two weeks: at 258K for the March 25 week down just slightly from 261K. The lowest, best level in 44 years of 227K in the February 25 week is way back in the rearview mirror. It is hard to know what to make of this turn of events. The picture of an improving labor market has gone away over the last month and we cannot be sure what the trend is now. The monthly employment report is Friday, April 7 and should remain near 200K for payroll jobs, but jobs would most likely slow next month if initial claims hold above 250K.

TREASURY CURVE WAS STEEPER: TRUMP FISCAL STIMULUS MUMBO JUMBO

The yield curve between 2-yr and 10-yr was 113 bps on Friday versus 115 bps last week. 10-yr yields little changed this week at 2.39% Friday versus 2.41% last Friday, March 24. Market was a little asleep with NY Fed President Dudley on Friday saying the Fed might only need to go 100-150 bps higher on the Fed funds rate, which if the end point on “neutral” Fed funds is only 2.0 to 2.5% in his estimation for this cycle, it does not lend a lot of support to our 3% 10-yr forecast in December 2017.
The Fed meets June 13-14 to consider its monetary policy. The May 2-3 decision date is most unlikely given it is a non-press conference meeting. If they are only going three times a year then there is little point to telling markets every meeting is a “Live” one where action could take place. They don’t sound like the need to raise rates is all that urgent.

Headline PCE inflation is back to the Fed’s 2% target in Friday’s report for February (2.1% YOY). This is unlikely to light a fire under Fed officials and make them think they are behind the curve. They don’t feel the economy is in danger of overheating. The consensus is for two more rate hikes in 2017 ending the year at a Fed funds rate of 1.5%. Unfortunately for those of us looking for 3% 10-yr Treasury yields, policy under Yellen (last day February 3, 2018) is not on a preset course. They are data dependent which means they might not raise rates at their June meeting if data like nonfarm payroll employment don’t continue to improve. It is early yet but how are they taking the slowdown in consumer spending the first quarter? A rags to riches story this week, real consumer spending was revised up to 3.5% in Q4 2016 but now with monthly declines in January and February (released with Friday’s personal income economic report), real consumer spending looks like it is only 0.3% to us in Q1 2017. They could well skip the June meeting waiting to see if consumption rebounds or whether there is more clarity on Team Trump’s plans for tax reform that was to encourage growth and jobs. Economic conditions have to continue to evolve as anticipated, otherwise with Yellen in charge they may well skip a meeting, or two this year.

Still we don’t want to be too pessimistic on the outlook for higher interest rates this year. Unemployment is 4.7% and core PCE inflation is 1.8% YOY and improvement from these levels is still expected based on our forecast later this year, and this should speed up their rate hikes or at least ensure they stick with the three rate hikes per year “plan.”
CORPORATE BONDS: APPLIED MATERIALS, FORD MOTOR, UNION PACIFIC

Corporate bond offerings were $23.8 billion in the March 31 week versus $20.8 billion in the March 24 week. On Tuesday, Rockwell Collins sold $4.65 billion 2s/5s/7s/10s/30s. It priced $1.3 billion 3.5% 10-yrs (m-w +20bp) at 110 bps (BBB/Baa2). The aerospace and defense company will use the proceeds to help finance the acquisition of B/E Aerospace. Corporate bonds (10-yr Industrials rated A2) were 89 bps above 10-yr Treasuries on Friday versus 87 bps last Friday.

TREASURY MARKET OUTLOOK

EXPECTED 10-YR
2-3 WEEK TRADING RANGE
2.00% to 2.75%

Week’s 10-YR Range

HIGH 99-05 2.35%

Monday, March 27, start of the week highs

LOW 98-14 2.43%

Friday, March 31, hit in London trading after modest selling on Thursday on 2.1% US GDP
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